



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,558	11/18/2002	Paul S. Lafata	TRM TR990031	4488
7590	12/18/2003			EXAMINER
Steven J Grossman			LUK, EMMANUEL S	
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAUET & PFLEGER, PLLC				ART UNIT
795 Elm Street, Suite 604				1722
Manchester, NH 03101				PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/089,558	LAFATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Emmanuel S. Luk	1722	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2002.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.
- 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification needs to have headings for the sections such as "Background of the Invention", "Summary of the Invention", "Brief Description of the Drawings" and "Detailed Description".

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Objections*

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 22 is claimed as being dependent upon claim 22. Applicant should correct this error, it is assumed that claim 22 is dependent on claim 18. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maus et al.

Maus teaches a method and apparatus of molding plastic articles (31") in an injection mold (Col. 1, lines 5-9) between the first mold sections and second mold

sections (74") having interchangeable gate inserts (Col. 28, lines 35-48) of various designs (Col. 32, lines 24-25) where the insert is placed in a recess (27). Regardless of the material used, the gates are interchangeable and it is intended use of changing the gates for different gates for color rather than the prior art use for flow control.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 4, 6-17, 20 and 21-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al over Hepler.

Maus teaches a method and apparatus of molding plastic articles (31") in an injection mold (Col. 1, lines 5-9) between the first mold sections and second mold sections (74") having interchangeable gate inserts (Col. 28, lines 35-48) of various designs (Col. 32, lines 24-25) where the insert is placed in a recess (27). Regardless of the material used, the gates are interchangeable and it is intended use of changing the gates for different gates for color rather than the prior art use for flow control.

Maus fails to teach an edge gate design, gate design removably attachable to the first or second mold member by threaded fasteners, having at least two interchangeable mold members for the gate designs, and the plastic materials of a certain pigment or polymer.

However, in regards to the plastic material, it is merely an intended use of the apparatus by specifying the pigments and the properties of the plastic materials.

In regards to the gate design, Hepler teaches an injection molding machinery having bushing (10) with a tip (39) that releasably screws (Col. 6, line 5) into the terminal end of the nozzle body (30), the tips are interchangeable and fits in a recess formed in the end of the nozzle body, and the tip having multiple edge gates (Col. 1, lines 19-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Maus with a tip design as taught by Hepler because it provides a way of securing the inserts and to port the materials via edge gate design as desired by the user.

### ***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 to 4 and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

EL

  
W. L. WALKER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700